The Entrepreneur of Grace: St Godric, Somatic Capital, and the Privatisation of the Sacred at Finchale Priory

Michael McManus

Copyright © 2026 Michael McManus
All rights reserved.
ISBN 9798279486311

CONTENTS

	Foreword	1
1	Introduction: The Two Cities of Grace	3
2	Literature Review: Triangulating the Saint	13
3	Methodology: Reading the Hagiography Against the Grain	20
4	The Geography of Exclusion: Biopolitics and the Invisible Wall	26
5	The Merchant of Grace: Habitus and Somatic Capital	32
6	The Gendered Miracle: The Safe Male and the Feminisation of the Wild	39
7	The Taming of the Shrew: Textual Capture and Institutionalisation	49
8	Discussion: The Man of Law and the Man of Sorrow	61
9	Conclusion: The Ecosystem of Shadows	69
	Bibliography	76
	Glossary	79
	Index	84

For Devin and Leigh

The Entrepreneur of Grace
Foreword

The title *The Entrepreneur of Grace: St. Godric, Somatic Capital, and the Privatisation of the Sacred at Finchale Priory* applies modern economic theory to medieval spirituality. St. Godric shifted spiritual authority from a public institution (the Church/Monastery) to a private asset (his own body and individual hermitage).

The following breakdown explains the specific arguments behind this title:

The Entrepreneur of Grace
Before becoming a hermit, St. Godric was a successful merchant and pirate. The title argues that he didn't abandon his merchant mindset; he simply changed markets.
Mercantile Logic: As a merchant, Godric understood investment, risk, and profit. He applied this same logic to salvation. Instead of trading wares for gold, he traded *suffering* for *grace*.
Accumulation: Just as he once hoarded wealth, he began hoarding spiritual merit. He treated grace as a commodity that could be earned, stored, and spent.
Somatic Capital (The Currency):
"Somatic" refers to the body. "Somatic Capital" argues that Godric used his physical body as his primary currency to purchase this grace.
The Body as an Asset: Godric is famous for extreme asceticism—wearing metal breastplates until they embedded in his flesh, standing in the freezing River Wear all night, and battling demons physically.
Conversion: By destroying his body (depreciation), he generated spiritual authority (appreciation). The more he suffered individually, the more "valuable" he became as a holy man.
Privatisation of the Sacred (The Outcome)
Privatisation is used here to describe a shift in ownership of the holy.
Institutional vs. Individual: In the medieval model, the "Sacred" was usually held by the Church/Monastery (a corporate, communal entity). Monks prayed together; the *community* held the power.
Seizing the Asset: By becoming a hermit, Godric took the sacred out of the communal monastery and located it within his private self. He didn't need the monks to access God; he had a direct, private line established through his own somatic capital.

The Monopoly: People flocked to Finchale to see *him* (the private entrepreneur), but not the official clergy. He effectively privatised the flow of miracles and prophecy, operating as a freelance spiritual contractor outside the immediate control of Durham Cathedral.

Summary: The Tension with Finchale Priory

The mention of Finchale Priory is the resolution to this privatisation. The institutional Church (Durham) could not allow a private individual to hold so much spiritual capital forever.

Re-Institutionalization: Eventually, Durham "acquired" Godric. They sent monks to watch him, recorded his life (hagiography), and after his death, they built Finchale Priory on his hermitage site.

The Buy-Out: By building the priory, the Church effectively "nationalized" or "re-acquired" the spiritual capital Godric had generated privately, ensuring the profits (pilgrims, donations, prestige) flowed back to the institution. Godric was a spiritual businessman who used his body to build a private fortune of holiness, which the Church eventually had to incorporate to control.

Chapter 1
Introduction: The Two Cities of Grace

The city is the place where the law appears... but the heterotopia is the place where the law is suspended, where the grid of the city is broken. (Michel Foucault, 1984. Des espaces autres. Of Other Spaces).

The Fortress and the Shadow

To understand the sociology of grace in twelfth-century England, one must first confront the geography of the Durham peninsula. It is a landscape defined by severance. The River Wear, looping violently around the rocky promontory, acts as a natural moat that isolates Durham Cathedral, the sacred centre, from the secular hinterland. Rising from this rock the cathedral structure is less a church and more a fortress of God.

In a previous study, *The Bureaucratisation of Grace* (McManus, 2025) I argued that Durham Cathedral had functioned as a Crisis Heterotopia (Foucault, 1984; Johnson, 2013), that is, a space where time was suspended, and the laws of the King evaporated at the touch of the cathedral Sanctuary Knocker.

However, every fortress casts a Shadow. Proverbially, "Where there is much light, the shadow is deep"

(Goethe, 1773). If Durham Cathedral was the "City of Law," defined by stone walls, written registers, and the rigid exclusion of female bodies, then it necessitated the existence of a counter-site; a "City of the Wild", a shadow where those rules could be suspended.

Three miles downstream from Durham Cathedral, amidst the tangled woods of Finchale, such a site emerged. It was here that St Godric (c. 1065–1170), the pirate-turned-hermit, established a sanctuary that was everything the Cathedral was not: organic, more accessible, and chaotic. Coombe (2022), who has written on Godric's life, argues that he was a unique character. I follow Coombe in the claim that he is a figure deserving of serious academic scrutiny as 'the only saint from a peasant background to have received a detailed hagiographical work on his life…...' (Coombe, 2022, Introduction).

This book is another study of Godric and Finchale Priory, but from a sociological perspective. It is an investigation into the Ecosystem of Grace that operated in the Palatinate of Durham. I argue that the institutional strength of the Cathedral relied upon the

existence of the hermitage at Finchale. Just as a city requires a waste ground to absorb its excess, the Bureaucracy of Grace at Durham City required a Shadow Sanctuary to absorb the demographic and spiritual excesses; specifically, women and the charismatic poor, that the Benedictine regime could not contain.

The Sociological Gap: Beyond the Miracle and the Statute

Scholarship on medieval Durham has long been divided into two distinct and non-communicating traditions. On one side stands the Legal-Historical tradition, exemplified by Kesselring (2003) and Shoemaker (2011), which views sanctuary as a jurisdictional problem; a friction point between the developing Common Law and the privileges of the Church. In this reading, the sanctuary seeker is a "case file," a juridical subject to be processed by the coroner and the sheriff. Kesselring argues that the State used mercy to demonstrate its ultimate power. This aligns with my Bureaucratisation of Grace thesis: the Cathedral (and the Crown) didn't just want to punish criminals; they wanted to process

them to show they had the power to save or condemn (Kesselring, 2003; Shoemaker, 2011).

On the other side stands the Hagiographical tradition, revitalized by Coombe's recent critical edition of The Life of Godric (Coombe, 2022). Coombe translated Reginald of Durham's account of Godric's life from the Latin. The tradition of her analysis focuses on the interiority of the saint, his miracles, and his piety. While invaluable, this approach often treats the saint as a spiritual anomaly, divorced from the structural power dynamics of the region.

The importance of further research and analyses of Godric's life is recognised. Coombe herself has shown that the historical record of Godric's exploration is far from clear. She points out in her study of Reginald's text that, "The text is complex yet imprecise, often obscuring the simple reality of the hermit's life beneath layers of rhetorical flourish." (Coombe, 2022, Introduction). My project here will hopefully contribute towards his distinctiveness.

My study occupies the sociological gap between the statute and the miracle. It posits that neither the "Legal" nor the "Hagiographical" view is sufficient. To understand how power actually operated in the Palatinate, we must apply a third lens: the Sociology of Power. By utilizing the theoretical frameworks of Michel Foucault, Pierre Bourdieu, and Max Weber, this book reconstructs the relationship between the Bishop (the Institution) and the Hermit (the Individual) not as a spiritual friendship, but as a contest over the "Monopoly of Grace."

Theoretical Framework: Triangulation

To operationalise this inquiry, the study employs a Theoretical Triangulation, viewing the phenomenon of Godric and Finchale through three distinct sociological lenses.

Spatial Sociology: The Heterotopia of Compensation. Following Foucault, I identify Finchale Priory not merely as a hermitage, but as a "Heterotopia of Compensation." Foucault argues that some heterotopias exist to create a space that is "other" than the messy, ill-constructed real space. However, Finchale

functions inversely: it is the chaotic, organic space that compensates for the overly rigid, "purified" space of the Cathedral. Where the Cathedral is defined by the "North Door" and the "Watching Loft"; technologies of surveillance and entry control, Finchale is defined by the open woods and the river banks. It is the deregulation of the sacred.

The Gendered Geography of Exclusion: The most striking feature of the Durham Bureaucracy of grace was its biopolitical filtration of bodies. As the *Sanctuarium Dunelmense* records show, the population of sanctuary seekers was exclusively male. These exclusionary beliefs, associated with St. Cuthbert, transformed the Durham peninsula into a male fortress. This created a profound market failure in the economy of salvation: half the population was barred from the primary source of grace.

My study argues that Godric's hermitage functioned as a Safe Counter-Site. By destroying his own virility through extreme asceticism; standing in freezing rivers until his skin decayed, Godric became a "Safe Male", a

proxy through whom the excluded female population could have easier access to the divine.

Bourdieusian Economics: The Merchant Habitus.
Finally, I apply Pierre Bourdieu's concepts of Habitus and Capital. Godric was not born a saint; he was born a peasant and became a merchant, pirate, and ship-owner. This study argues that he never lost his Merchant Habitus. He approached spirituality with the logic of a trader. He understood that to compete with the Institutional Capital of the Bishop (vestments, liturgy, stone), he needed to generate Somatic Capital (pain, endurance, charisma). His asceticism was not a rejection of the world, but a calculated investment in a new form of power; one that the Cathedral could not replicate.

The Argument: The Necessity of Shadows
The central thesis of this book is that the bureaucratisation of the Cathedral and the charisma of the Hermitage were symbiotic. The System of Light (the Cathedral) could only maintain its purity because the System of Shadows (Finchale) existed to handle the mess.

The Cathedral outsourced its problematic clients to Godric. The women, the hysterical, the poor, and those seeking immediate, tactile miracles rather than legal protection; all these flowed downstream to Finchale. This allowed the Cathedral to perfect its role as a Department of State, processing homicides, thefts and debts with the forensic efficiency, described in my previous work (McManus, 2025), while retaining the brand of sanctity through its association with the wild hermit of Finchale.

However, this ecosystem was fragile. As we shall see, the Institution could not tolerate the total independence of the Shadow. The writing of Reginald of Durham's Life of Godric represents the moment the Bureaucracy attempted to capture the Charisma. By 'writing' Godric down, they sought to, as it were, tame the shrew; turning the anarchic entrepreneur of grace into a legible, canonized saint who could be safely and tidily folded into the Benedictine order.

Structure of the Book

The following chapters will map this geography of exclusion and capture:

Chapter 2 (Literature Review) situates the Hermit of Finchale within the broader historiography of medieval asceticism and legal history.

Chapter 3 (Methodology) outlines the genealogical approach, defining how we can "read against the grain" of hagiographical texts to find the sociological reality beneath the miracle stories.

Chapter 4 (The Geography of Exclusion) provides the foundational mapping of the "Invisible Wall" of gender that surrounded the Cathedral, defining the push factors that drove pilgrims to Finchale.

Chapter 5 (The Merchant of Grace) analyses Godric's Somatic Capital, tracing how he converted his merchant background into spiritual authority and charisma.

Chapter 6 (The Gendered Miracle) explores the "Feminisation of the Wild," arguing that Godric acted as a "Marian Proxy" in a patriarchal landscape.

Chapter 7 (The Taming of the Shrew) analyses the conflict between the Oral Tradition of the hermit and the Written Record of the monk Reginald.

Chapter 8 (Discussion: The Man of Law and the Man of Sorrow). Sociology constantly interrogates its own models, accordingly, before closing my study, I subject my thesis to a rigorous stress test.

Chapter 9 (Conclusion) reflects on the destruction of this ecosystem during the Reformation, arguing that the dissolution of the monasteries destroyed the Safety Valve of Finchale, leaving the individual fully exposed to the disciplinary power of the State.

In the end, this is not just a biography of a saint. It is an anatomy of a power struggle; a study of how the individual navigates, resists, and ultimately sustains the institution that seeks to control.

Chapter 2
Literature Review: Triangulating the Saint

The most enduring and insidious opposition... is the one between subjectivism and objectivism... The science of the social world must inevitably overcome this opposition. (Pierre Bourdieu, The Logic of Practice, 1990).

Introduction: The Scholarly Divide

As mentioned earlier; to understand the sociological function of St Godric within the Ecosystem of Grace at Durham, one must navigate two distinct and often non-communicating streams of scholarship. On one bank of the River Wear stands the Legal-Historical tradition, which views the Cathedral as a juridical fortress and the sanctuary seeker as a case file. On the opposite bank stands the Hagiographical tradition, which views the Hermitage as a site of spiritual interiority and the saint as an isolated vessel of grace and miracles.

The objective of this chapter is to seek a strategy for bridging this divide. By triangulating the forensic evidence of the Cathedral archives with the narrative evidence of the Saint's life, this review identifies the Sociological Gap, where the true power dynamics of the Palatinate can be found.

The View from the Cathedral: The Legal-Historical Tradition

Scholarship on medieval Durham has traditionally been dominated by the study of the institution. As explored in my previous work, *The Bureaucratisation of Grace,* the seminal texts in that field focus on the friction between Church and State. Shoemaker's *Sanctuary and Crime in the Middle Ages* (2011) provides the definitive longitudinal analysis of how sanctuary evolved from a restorative mechanism into a "legal wrong" that the State could no longer tolerate. Similarly, McSheffrey's *Seeking Sanctuary* (2017) challenges the romanticised notion of "sacred space," revealing a Tudor system that was highly secularised and bureaucratic.

The above studies rigorously map the "City of Law," however, they often overlook the "City of the Wild." They analyse the successful bureaucracy but rarely engage with the "Shadow Sanctuary" that was required to handle the failures of that bureaucracy—specifically the women and the charismatic poor who were excluded from the legal registers.

The View from the Hermitage: The Hagiographical Tradition

To understand the counter-site of Finchale, we must turn to the hagiographers. The primary hagiographical lens for this study is provided by Coombe's critical edition of *Reginald of Durham* (Coombe, 2022). As the first complete English translation of the *Libellus*, Coombe's work allows for a granular analysis of the power dynamics between the illiterate hermit and his scholastic biographer. Coombe's introduction is particularly vital for the 'Textual Capture' argument (Chapter 7), as she identifies the distinct stylistic shifts that occur when Reginald translates Godric's rough, oral spirituality into polished Latin prose.

Furthermore, Coombe's statistical analysis of Godric's miracles—noting that 67.5% of his posthumous cures were performed for women, provides the essential quantitative data to support my Godric 'Safe Male' hypothesis. It proves that the hermitage functioned as a de facto sanctuary for the female demographic excluded from the Cathedral precinct.

While Coombe provides the text, Tudor provides the sociological conflict (Tudor, 1981). In her seminal analysis of the relationship between Reginald and Godric, Tudor moves beyond the trope of 'spiritual friendship' to expose the friction between two competing forms of knowledge: the experiential knowledge of the hermit and the academic knowledge of the monk. My study utilises Tudor's framework to underpin the argument of 'Bureaucratisation.' She demonstrates how Reginald persistently attempted to categorize Godric's chaotic visions within standard theological templates, acting as an agent of what Max Weber would term 'Legal-Rational Authority.' Tudor's work confirms that the biography was not a neutral record, but an active instrument of institutional control designed to tame the 'wild' elements of the saint's charisma.

The Cultural Context: Gender and Vernacular Theology

Beyond the biography, we must understand the symbolic register in which Godric operated. If the Cathedral was defined by Latin literacy and male

exclusivity, Finchale was defined by English song and female inclusivity.

To articulate the 'Gendered Miracle' (Chapter 6), this project draws on Deeming's musicological analysis of Godric's hymns (Deeming, 2005). Deeming identifies these songs not merely as the earliest surviving English lyrics, but as artifacts of a 'vernacular theology' that operated outside the Latinate monopoly of the Cathedral. Her analysis supports the 'Marian Proxy' argument by highlighting that Godric's primary instruction came from the Virgin Mary, who taught him in the English tongue. This validates the Bourdieusian reading of Godric's 'Cultural Capital': unable to compete in the masculine, Latin economy of the Bishop, Godric leveraged the feminine, vernacular economy of song to establish a spiritual authority that was accessible to the poor and the excluded (Bourdieu, 1977).

The Archival Evidence: The Holiday Provision

Finally, to substantiate the argument that the "Shadow Sanctuary" was eventually colonized by the institution it sought to escape, this study relies upon the financial and

legal records preserved in Raine's edition of *The Charters of Endowment* of Finchale Priory (1837). While hagiographical texts obscure the mundanity of monastic life with miracles, Raine's charters provide the forensic accounting of the 'Bureaucratisation of Grace.' Crucially, these records contain the specific statutes regarding the *ludi* (the 'players')—the rotation of monks sent from Durham to Finchale for recreation. By documenting the relaxation of rules, the dietary allowances, and the specific use of the hermitage as a holiday retreat, this source provides the empirical evidence for the project's final thesis: that the extreme asceticism of the founder (Godric) was inverted by the bureaucracy into an amenity for the staff, transforming a site of suffering into a site of leisure.

Conclusion: The Sociological Bridge

By synthesizing these sources, this project moves beyond the binary of "Law vs. Legend." By reading Raine's charters against Coombe's hagiography and interpreting both through the sociological frameworks of Tudor (ibid) and Deeming (ibid), we can reconstruct the full "Ecosystem of Grace." We see not just a Saint and a Bishop, but a complex functional relationship

where the Institution attempts to capture the Charisma,
and the Charisma attempts to survive the Capture.

Chapter 3
Methodology: Reading the Hagiography Against the Grain

…..hagiography is not merely biography but a 'text of power.' To read it sociologically, one must look not at the miracle itself, but at the institutional mechanism that produced it. (Michel de Certeau, 1988).

Introduction: The Problem of the Source

The primary methodological challenge of this study lies in the nature of its data. When the sociologists approach the twelfth century, they are confronted not with neutral statistics, but with highly curated narratives. This is particularly true in the case of St Godric of Finchale. Our primary window into his life, the *Libellus de vita et miraculis S. Godrici*, was written not by a dispassionate observer, but by Reginald of Durham, a monk with a specific institutional agenda.

Therefore, this project cannot employ a standard biographical method. To accept Reginald's text at face value is to accept the institution's version of the individual. Instead, this chapter outlines a methodology of Reading Against the Grain. Drawing on Foucault's genealogical approach, I do not ask whether the miracles described by Reginald were true in a theological sense. Rather, I ask what sociological

function they served. I interrogate the text not as a window into Godric's soul, but as a record of the power struggle between the chaotic charisma of the hermit and the ordering impulse of the biographer.

Research Design: A Comparative Case Study

Following the case study design principles of Yin (2018), this research is structured as a Comparative Analysis of Two Rationalities. It juxtaposes two distinct datasets that represent the opposing poles of the "Ecosystem of Grace."

Dataset A: The Bureaucratic Record (The Institution)

Source: The Sanctuarium Dunelmense (The Sanctuary Registers). This data is forensic, administrative, and "cold." It records names, dates, weapons, and confessions. It represents the "City of Law." As established in my previous work, this dataset reveals a system of Disciplinary Power—surveillance, categorization, and exclusion.

Dataset B: The Hagiographical Record (The Individual)

Source: Reginald of Durham's Life of St Godric.

This data is narrative, miraculous, and "hot." It records visions, healings, and somatic endurance. It represents the "City of the Wild." This dataset reveals a system of Charismatic Power—disruption, emotion, and inclusion.

The methodology involves triangulating these two datasets. By reading the Register we see who was excluded (women/debtors); by reading the Life, we see who was included (female pilgrims/the poor). The "Shadow Sanctuary" emerges in the negative space between these two documents.

The Genealogical Method: Coding the Miracle

To transform a medieval saint's life into sociological data, this study employs a process of Theoretical Coding. I strip the theological terminology from the text to reveal the underlying socioeconomic exchange. This translation operates on three levels:

The Miracle as Transaction: When the text describes a pilgrim receiving a cure, I code this as a Service Transaction. I analyse the "cost" of the miracle (the pilgrimage journey, the waiting time) and the "provider" (Godric). This allows the study to view Finchale not just as a shrine, but as a "Service Provider" competing with the monopoly of the Cathedral.

Asceticism as Investment: When the text describes Godric standing in the frozen River Wear or wearing a metal vest, I do not code this merely as "piety." Following Bourdieu, I code this as Somatic Investment. The hermit is investing pain to generate "Charismatic Capital." This framing allows us to understand his authority not as a divine gift, but as an accumulated asset that forced the Bishop to respect him.

Solitude as Scarcity: When Reginald describes Godric chasing away visitors, I code this as the Production of Scarcity. In a market economy, limited access increases value. By feigning a desire for solitude, Godric increased the demand for his presence, making his "product" (grace) more valuable than the readily available liturgy of the Cathedral.

Analysing the Biographer: The "Hostile" Narrator

A critical component of this methodology is the recognition of Narrative Bias. Reginald of Durham is treated in this study not as a neutral historian, but as an agent of Institutional Capture (as detailed in Chapter 7). The method, therefore, requires identifying "textual fissures"—moments where the seamless narrative of the saint breaks down. I look for:

- **Contradictions:** Instances where Godric's actions (e.g., speaking roughly, refusing to pray) contradict Reginald's praise.
- **Resistance:** Moments where the text admits Godric tried to hide from Reginald or refused to answer his questions.
- **Translation Gaps:** The friction between the recorded Latin prayers and Godric's reported English vernacular.

These fissures are the most valuable data points. They reveal the "Shadow Sanctuary" that existed *before* the text covered it up. They show us the unruly, independent entrepreneur of grace before he was smoothed into the shape of a Benedictine saint.

Conclusion: The Sociological Exegesis

Ultimately, this methodology is an act of sociological exegesis. It refuses to let the "Miracle" obscure the "Mechanism." By reading the hagiography against the grain—treating it as a site of struggle rather than a statement of fact—I reconstruct the lived reality of Finchale Priory. I uncover a site that was not merely a holy place, but a functioning counterinstitution that managed the gendered and economic exclusions of the twelfth-century church.

Chapter 4
The Geography of Exclusion: Biopolitics and the Invisible Wall

The city is the place where the law appears... but the heterotopia is the place where the law is suspended, where the grid of the city is broken. (Michel Foucault, 1984. Des espaces autres - Of Other Spaces).

Introduction: The Topography of Purity

To understand the sociological function of Durham Cathedral, one must first interrogate its geography. It is not merely a site of worship; it is a technology of defence. The peninsula, carved by the serpentine loop of the River Wear, acts as a natural moat, severing the sacred rock from the secular land. In Foucauldian terms, this isolation is the first requirement of the Crisis Heterotopia: a space that must be physically distinct from the normal social order to function as a "counter-site." In the case of Durham Cathedral, it functioned both as opposition to the Sovereign State of the King (McManus, 2025) and to the Shadow Sanctuary of Finchale Priory.

This geological severance created a "Fortress of Purity." The Prince Bishops of Durham did not just control a diocese; they commanded a territory where the "King's

Writ" evaporated at the water's edge. However, this power relied on a rigid control of entry. The Cathedral was not designed to be porous; it was designed to be impermeable. The stone walls were not just to keep the Scots out; they were to keep the "contaminants" of the ordinary world—specifically women and the unexamined—from polluting the sacred reservoir of Saint Cuthbert's grace.

The Binary Switch (The North Door)

The focal point of this exclusionary architecture was the North Door. As established in the *Sanctuarium Dunelmense*, the ritual of the Sanctuary Knocker functioned as a binary switch between life and death, Sovereign Power and Pastoral Power. When the fugitive grasped the ring, they were not merely touching metal; they were activating a legal suspension of the State's authority.

However, this threshold was strictly policed. The "micro-physics of power" operating at this door were absolute. The register reveals that admission was conditional upon immediate surveillance and categorization. As noted earlier, (McManus, 2025), the

seeker was immediately subject to the gaze of the "Watchers" (monks) stationed in the chambers above the door.

Once admitted, the seeker had to become "legible" to the institution. They were stripped of their secular identity and marked with the black gown and the yellow cross of St Cuthbert. This process transformed the human subject into a bureaucratic entry. The door was, therefore, a filter. It allowed the "penitent male" to enter, but it remained firmly shut against those bodies deemed incompatible with the regime of St Cuthbert.

The Biopolitics of the Invisible Wall

It is here that the "invisible wall" of gender becomes tangible. Foucault defines "Biopolitics" as the power to manage populations—to categorize, filter, and optimize the body. Durham Cathedral exercised a specific form of biopolitics: the Filtration of Gender.

The *Sanctuarium Dunelmense*, covering the years 1464 to 1524, lists hundreds of sanctuary seekers. Yet, as the data reveals, this population shares a single, biopolitical characteristic: they are exclusively male. The registers

contain no female names. This was not an accident of history, but a structural feature of the institution. As noted in the manuscript, women were historically excluded from the cathedral precinct due to the exclusionary beliefs associated with St. Cuthbert. This misogyny—or rather, this "protective anxiety"—transformed the physical boundary of the precinct into a moral *cordon sanitaire*. The legend that St Cuthbert guarded his chastity even in death meant that the female body was viewed not as a vessel for grace, but as a vector of temptation. A woman crossing the boundary of the nave was considered an act of pollution that threatened the "Heterotopia" itself.

The Spiritual Vacuum

The sociological consequence of this exclusion was the creation of a profound Spiritual Vacuum. For the female population of Durham, the Cathedral was not a sanctuary; it was a forbidden zone. If a woman committed a crime, or if a woman sought the intense, charismatic proximity to the divine that the age demanded, the North Door was effectively bricked up for her. The Bureaucracy of Grace had no category for

her existence. She was, in the eyes of the register, an illegible subject.

This created a massive market failure in the economy of salvation. The Cathedral claimed a monopoly on grace, yet it refused service to half the population. In any functional system, such a surplus of excluded need cannot remain contained forever. It requires a release valve.

To move beyond a purely descriptive account of the exclusion of women at Durham, this study employs the anthropological framework of Douglas. In *Purity and Danger* (1966), Douglas argues that pollution behaviour is the reaction which condemns any object or idea likely to confuse or contradict cherished classifications. She famously defines dirt not as something inherent, but as 'matter out of place.'

Applying this to the *Sanctuarium Dunelmense*, we can understand the prohibition of women not merely as theological misogyny, but as a structural necessity of the Crisis Heterotopia. The Cathedral was an attempt to impose a perfect, static order upon the chaos of the

borderlands. In this male-coded system of sanctity, the female body represented 'matter out of place', a disruption of the symbolic boundaries that defined the power of St Cuthbert. Therefore, the Invisible Wall described was not built to punish women, but to preserve the taxonomic purity of the institution itself.

Conclusion: The Necessity of the Shadow

It is this structural failure that necessitated the creation of Finchale Priory. Located three miles downstream, safely outside the "Fortress of Purity", Finchale emerged as the Heterotopia of Compensation. Because the Cathedral functioned as a Pressure Cooker of male, bureaucratic rigidity, the region required a Shadow Sanctuary to handle the overflow. The Invisible Wall of the peninsula did not just keep women out of Durham; it pushed them towards Godric. The geography of exclusion, therefore, directly produced the geography of the hermitage. The Saint of the River was the necessary answer to the Saint of the Rock.

Chapter 5
The Merchant of Grace: Habitus and Somatic Capital

The term 'charisma' will be applied to a certain quality of an individual personality by virtue of which he is set apart from ordinary men and treated as endowed with supernatural, superhuman, or at least specifically exceptional powers or qualities. (Max Weber, 1922: 241, Economy and Society).

Introduction: The Myth of Renunciation

Hagiography loves a conversion story. The standard narrative of St Godric, as curated by Reginald of Durham, relies on the trope of a radical break: the pirate who turned his back on the sea, the peddler who threw away his coins to embrace poverty. However, a Bourdieusian analysis suggests this break is an illusion. Godric of Finchale did not renounce his identity as a merchant; he merely relocated his business to a new sector. Following Bourdieu, I argue that his physical suffering was a performance of his past struggles:

> The body believes in what it plays at: it weeps if it mimes grief. It does not represent what it performs, it does not memorize the past, it enacts the past, bringing it back to life. (Bourdieu, 1990: 73).

If we view the religious landscape of twelfth-century Durham as a "Field of Cultural Production," Godric enters it not as a novice, but as a seasoned trader

possessing a specific Habitus: a set of ingrained dispositions toward risk, calculation, and exchange. For decades, Godric had navigated the treacherous waters of the North Sea as a ship-owner and trader, calculating the margins between a successful voyage and a watery grave. When he retreated to the woods of Finchale, he did not abandon this sea-sense and his sea-legs; he transposed them. He applied the logic of the marketplace to the economy of salvation. He understood, perhaps better than the cloistered monks of the Cathedral, that in a high-stakes market, one must be willing to leverage everything one owns.

The Disposition of the Trader

To understand Godric's spirituality, one must understand his professional formation. He was not born into the feudal hierarchy of land and service; he was a man of the mobile, mercantile class. Bourdieu argues that our *Habitus* structures how we perceive the world. Godric's habitus was defined by Calculation and Travel.

Unlike the Benedictine monks of Durham, who operated within a closed system of inherited wealth (the endowments of the Church and the prestige of St

Cuthbert), Godric was a venture capitalist of the spirit. He recognized that Grace was a commodity that could be accumulated. His extreme asceticism was not madness; it was a rational market strategy. In the merchant's mind, great profit requires great outlay. To purchase the pearl of great price, he knew he had to liquidate all his other assets, comfort, safety, and health.

The Accumulation of Somatic Capital

Lacking the Institutional Capital of the Bishop, Godric possessed neither the vestments of office nor the Latin literacy of the scholastic elite. He was forced to capitalize on the only asset available to a peasant: his own body.

Accordingly, this chapter argues that Godric's mortification of the flesh was a mechanism for the accumulation of somatic capital. By encasing his torso in a metal vest until it embedded in his rotting flesh, and by submerging himself neck-deep in the freezing currents of the River Wear to pray, Godric was engaging in a Spectacle of the Scaffold directed inward.

Boyle informs us that:

> He observed all the austerities of an anchorite. He had a bath excavated in the earth, in which he was accustomed to stand naked all the night with the water reaching to his neck. He wore sackcloth and a breastplate. A stone served for his pillow and his table (Boyle, 1892: 402).

In a society that fetishised the suffering of Christ, this self-inflicted agony became a form of currency. It generated Charismatic Authority (Weber). Every scar was a deposit in a spiritual bank account that the Cathedral could not freeze. Thus, the Merchant Saint used his body as a tool of heavy industry, manufacturing the holy awe that would eventually make his humble hermitage a rival to the great stone fortress upriver. The monks of Durham had the *authority of the office*; Godric had the *authority of the wound*.

The Sub-Contractor of Grace (Licensed Deviance)

While it is tempting to view Godric as a rebel undercutting the Cathedral's monopoly, the historical evidence suggests a more complex functionalism. The archival record notes that strangers were admitted to the hermitage only if they carried a token from the Prior of Durham (Boyle, 1892: 402). This detail fundamentally

reshapes our understanding of the Entrepreneur. Godric was not operating a black-market spirituality outside the Bishop's jurisdiction; rather, he was functioning as a Licensed Deviant. The Token acted as a bureaucratic visa, proving that the Cathedral actively referred cases to him.

The Monetisation of the Tomb

The conversion of Somatic Capital into Economic Capital is laid bare in the financial endowments of the thirteenth century. The Boyle transcript records a series of rent-charges specifically legally bound to the physical remains of the saint at Finchale (Boyle, 1892: 406-12). We see Edmund Crouchback, Earl of Lancaster, granting twenty shillings annually from his mill in Northumberland solely for the 'maintenance of the light about the body of St. Godric' (Boyle, 1892: 406).

This represents the Posthumous IPO of the Entrepreneur Saint. Posthumous IPO (Initial Public Offering) is a conceptual metaphor developed for this study to describe sociologically what happened to Finchale immediately after Godric died. It applies modern business theory to medieval hagiography. In the

financial world, an IPO is when a private company lists itself on the stock market, allowing the public to buy shares and the owners to cash in.

The tomb functioned as a spiritual bank vault: the light kept burning over the body was the visible dividend of the capital Godric had accumulated through decades of self-torture. By investing in this light, the aristocracy were not merely donating to a church; they were purchasing shares in the Charismatic Authority of the hermit, hoping to leverage his extreme purity to offset their own secular, sinful, debts.

Sociologically, this represents a model of Institutional Outsourcing. The Cathedral, bound by its rigid codes of purity and exclusion, recognised that it could not service the entire market, specifically the messy cases of the poor, the sick, and the female pilgrims. Rather than turning this surplus demand away, and risking heresy or unrest, the Prior at Durham sub-contracted the pastoral care to Godric at Finchale.

Finchale, therefore, was the spoke to the Cathedral's hub. The Institution allowed Godric to generate

Somatic Capital through his wild, unwashed asceticism because it served the system. He offered the Wild product that the cathedral at Durham could not manufacture; but he did so under a license granted by the Prior. The Merchant Saint was indeed an entrepreneur, but he was one operating a franchise within the Bishop's corporate portfolio.

Conclusion: The Entrepreneur of Grace

As a threat to the Legal Rational Cathedral, ultimately, Godric represents the triumph of the Entrepreneur over the Institution:

> Charismatic authority is specifically irrational in the sense of being foreign to all rules... It repudiates the past and is in this sense a specifically revolutionary force. (Weber, 1922: 244).

Godric proved that in the economy of grace, Somatic Capital (pain) could outvalue Institutional Capital (rank). He did not stop being a merchant when he entered the woods at Finchale; he simply realised that salvation was a more stable currency than silver, and he pursued it with the ruthless efficiency of a man who had once survived the pirate-infested waters of the North Sea.

Chapter 6
The Gendered Miracle: The "Safe Male" and the Feminisation of the Wild

The exclusion of women highlights the biopolitical nature of the space; sanctuary was a technology of power available only to specific bodies. (Michael McManus, The Bureaucratisation of Grace, 2025).

Introduction: The Patriarchal Vacuum

If Durham Cathedral was the architectural embodiment of the Father; imposing, legalistic, and exclusionary, then the spiritual landscape of the Palatinate suffered from a profound absence of the Mother. The cult of St Cuthbert, as curated by the Benedictine regime, was aggressively masculine. He was the Saint who defended the border against the Scots; he was the misogynist who, according to local legend, drove the earth to swallow those women who dared cross the marble line of the nave. In this Crisis Heterotopia, the female body was not a subject to be saved, but an object to be repelled.

Conversely, Finchale was welcoming to women and those Durham rejected. Reginald of Durham's text is clear on this point:

> The contemporary audience for Reginald's account was small, provincial and local, comprising mostly peasants and women. (Coombe, 2022, Abstract/Intro), and further:
>
> The miracles frequently appear in clusters which concern women, suggesting a specific devotional reliance on the hermit by the female population of the region. (Coombe, 2022, Analysis of Miracles).

This chapter argues that the hermitage at Finchale emerged to fill a patriarchal vacuum. St Godric functioned not merely as a holy man, but as a Gendered Proxy. In a region where the dominant saint (Cuthbert) was structurally hostile to women, Godric became the necessary Safe Male; a liminal figure who could offer pastoral care to the female population without triggering the institutional anxieties of the Bishop.

The Masculinity of the Stone City

To understand the feminist function of the hermitage at Finchale, we must first return to and confront the hyper-masculinity of the Cathedral. The sanctuary registers reveal a demographic that is exclusively male (McManus, 2025). This was not a passive omission; it was an active filtration. The Cathedral was designed as a Fortress of Purity. In the medieval symbolic order,

purity was often coded as male (spirit), while pollution was coded as female (flesh).

The monks of Durham, guarding the uncorrupted body of St Cuthbert, viewed the intrusion of women as a biopolitical threat. A woman entering the precinct was not just breaking a rule; she was introducing an ontological contamination that threatened the defensive power of the shrine. This created a specific geography of exclusion. For the female penitent, the cathedral was a closed door. She could not access the Sovereign Power of the Bishop to protect her body, nor the Pastoral Power of the Shrine to save her soul. She was, effectively, spiritually homeless.

The Blue Marble Line

The Geography of Exclusion was not a metaphor; it was a mineral reality. As recorded in the *Rites of Durham*, the nave of the Cathedral was bisected by a 'cross of blue marble' set into the floor. Boyle, (1892: 256-259) refers to this as 'The Women's Boundary Cross'. This line functioned as a biopolitical border: all women that came to hear divine service should not be suffered to come above the said cross. The penalty for

transgression was immediate and physical: the woman was taken and set fast (Boyle, 1892: ibid). This demarcated the Cathedral as a zone of Conditional Grace. The male pilgrim could approach the shrine; the female pilgrim was halted at the marble line, her view of salvation obstructed by the architecture of her own exclusion. It was this specific spiritual distance that necessitated the creation of a proximate sanctuary at Finchale.

The Man of Law vs. The Female Body

The texts provides a litany of horror stories about women who tried to cross the line. These stories serve to reinforce the image of St Cuthbert as the ultimate unsafe male, a saint who physically attacks women. Boyle (1892: ibid), transcribes examples of females who suffered Cuthbert's wrath:

- Sungeova: Falls dead after crossing the churchyard.
- The Wife of Tosti: Repelled by a "gust of wind" and racked with pain until death.
- Queen Philippa (1333): Even the Queen of England is forced to leave the Prior's lodging in

her undergarments because St Cuthbert did not like the presence of women.

The Repair Shop of the Soul: The Case of Emeloth

The functional relationship between the Man of Law (Cuthbert) and the Man of Sorrow (Godric) is most vividly illustrated in the hagiographical account of Emeloth:

> A little girl, named Emeloth... ran into the Church of St. Cuthbert. In consequence of this act... she did not grow in knowledge, and for so long a time did she remain an ignorant child... At length she went to the tomb of St. Godric, and by his intervention with St. Cuthbert she was restored to sanity. (Boyle, 1892: 258).

Boyle's transcript records that as a child, Emeloth accidentally crossed the Blue Marble Cross and entered the Cathedral. The result of this transgression was not forgiveness, but arrested development: she did not grow in knowledge and was struck with a form of idiocy. Sociologically, this narrative confirms the toxic nature of the Cathedral's purity for the female subject. Cuthbert's holiness was so volatile that it scorched the 'matter out of place.'

These examples prove that the Bureaucracy of Grace at Durham was absolute. Status (Queen/Countess) did not override gender. The Cathedral was a machine designed to repel the female form.

However, as we have seen, the cure for this institutional trauma was found at Finchale, for the text notes that Emeloth was restored to sanity only after visiting the tomb of St Godric, who secured her healing by his intervention with St Cuthbert.

This establishes Godric's primary role in the ecosystem of grace: he was the Broker of the Borderlands. He did not merely offer an alternative to the Cathedral; he repaired the damage the Cathedral caused. When the rigid binary of the Blue Marble Cross crushed the female subject, the Safe Male of Finchale stepped in to negotiate her recovery, proving that the hermitage was the necessary dialysis machine for a system poisoned by its own purity.

The Safe Male (The Biopolitics of the Eunuch)

For a male hermit to minister to women in the twelfth century was fraught with danger. It risked scandal,

accusations of unchastity, and the wrath of the Bishop. To overcome this, Godric had to alter his own biological reality. By submerging himself in the freezing River Wear and wearing a metal vest that rotted his flesh, Godric was not just punishing his sins; he was dismantling his virility. He was publicly destroying the predatory potential of the male body and transforming himself into the Safe Male.

The Sister in the Cell

Boyle informs us that "His sister lived near Godric for many years, and for her he built a cell near his own oratory."(Boyle, 1892: 402). This validates the Gendered Miracle thesis. St Cuthbert would never allow a woman to live within his precinct. Godric, however, physically built a home for one. This proves the Biopolitics of Finchale were radically different. It wasn't just that he saw women, he co-habituated with them, in a spiritual sense, in a way the Cathedral forbade. The Safe Male created a safe space for his sister and by extension, the female pilgrim.

In the eyes of his female visitors, Godric was no longer a man in the socio-sexual sense. He had become a

Eunuch for the Kingdom of Heaven. His somatic torture acted as a guarantee of safety. Because he had visibly mortified his flesh, women could approach him, touch him, and confess to him in the woods of Finchale without the fear that accompanied interactions with the virile, well-fed secular clergy. He became accessible because he had made himself asexual.

The hagiographical data supports this hypothesis. Coombe's analysis of Reginald's text reveals that a staggering 67.5% of Godric's posthumous miracles were performed for women. This statistical anomaly proves that Finchale functioned as the de facto sanctuary for the demographic excluded by the Cathedral.

The Marian Proxy and Vernacular Theology

If Godric's body was the Hardware of this new sanctuary, his theology was the Software, and it, too, was distinctly feminine. While the Cathedral operated in Latin; the language of the Father, the Law, and the Register, Godric operated in English; the language of the Mother, the Song, and the Home. As Deeming notes, Godric's hymns are the oldest surviving English

lyrics, and crucially, they were taught to him not by God the Father, but by the Virgin Mary.

By claiming direct instruction from the ultimate female authority, Godric bypassed the misogynistic gatekeepers of Durham. He did not need the Bishop's permission to preach, because the Mother of God had given him a song. Accordingly, The Cathedral offered the Liturgy: complex, distant, and male while The Hermitage offered the Lullaby: simple, immediate, and female.

Godric thus acted as a Marian Proxy. In the absence of a welcoming maternal figure at the main shrine, the hermit became the Mother that the stone city refused to provide. He offered a space of listening rather than judgment, and a language of song rather than statute.

The Feminisation of the Wild

The existence of Finchale Priory proves that the Geography of Exclusion at Durham was not absolute; it was merely displaced. The Cathedral could remain a Fortress of Purity only because it had a Shadow Sanctuary to handle the messy, gendered reality of the population. Godric of Finchale succeeded where the

Bishop failed because Godric was willing to feminise Finchale. He created a space where the rigid binaries of the Cathedral - Male/Female, Pure/Polluted, Latin/English, were dissolved in the waters of the River Wear. He was the necessary antidote to the toxicity of the Cathedral's misogyny, proving that in the ecosystem of grace, the Law of the Father cannot survive without the Song of the Mother.

Chapter 7
The Taming of the Shrew: Textual Capture and Institutionalisation

The examination, surrounded by all its documentary techniques, makes each individual a 'case': a case which at one and the same time constitutes an object for a branch of knowledge and a hold for a branch of power. (Michel Foucault. Discipline and Punish).

Introduction: The Hostile Takeover

If the Geography of Exclusion described in Chapter 4 was the Cathedral's method of keeping the unwanted out, then the biography of St Godric was its method of keeping the necessary in. In a Foucauldian sense, the discipline of the text is the first step in the discipline of the subject. Once the wild saint could be written down, he could be filed, categorized, and ultimately, owned.

The Leasehold of the Wild

The capture of Finchale was not merely a posthumous literary event; it was a legal reality established during the saint's lifetime. As revealed in the transcript of the land grant by Bishop Flambard, the hermitage was not given to Godric as a freehold property, but as a lease to be held "of them during his life" and "after his death... to be held in the same way by whomsoever they should place therein." (Boyle, 1892: 402).

This legal language proves that the Cathedral never intended to lose control of the site. They viewed Godric as a temporary tenant. The bureaucracy had already written the contract for his succession before he had even dug his famous bath in the earth. Furthermore, the requirement for visitors to carry a token proves that the administrative gaze of the Cathedral penetrated the woods long before Reginald arrived with his ink and parchment to record Godric's life.

Coombe's introduction provides the philological evidence that the text has two distinct styles, supporting my theory that Reginald (the Institution) was struggling to capture Godric (the Individual) (Coombe, 2022). As Coombe notes in her definitive edition, the text is not a seamless whole. She argues that 'two distinct styles can be discerned in the Life' (Coombe, 2022, p. lii), revealing the friction between the 'opaque, verbose, and imprecise' style of Reginald and the more direct interventions of his superiors. I argue that this stylistic fissure is the textual evidence of the institutional struggle to control the narrative of the wild saint.

Thus, the Taming of the Shrew was not a sudden hostile takeover upon Godric's death. It was a slow, bureaucratic envelopment. The Institution tolerated the charismatic disruption of the saint because they held the deed to the land and the keys to the gate. They knew that eventually, the Man of Sorrow would die, and the Man of Law would enforce the lease.

Throughout the mid-twelfth century, Godric of Finchale represented a dangerous anomaly to the Benedictine regime of Durham. He was an illiterate merchant who claimed direct access to the Virgin Mary; a peasant who commanded the respect of Bishop Pudsey; and a Safe Male who attracted the female pilgrims that the Cathedral's own misogynistic protocols had rejected.

In the language of Max Weber, Godric possessed Charismatic Authority; a raw, unstable power that existed outside the Legal-Rational Authority of the Church office. For the Cathedral to maintain its Monopoly of Grace, this rival power centre could not be allowed to remain wild. It had to be annexed.

This chapter argues that the annexation of Finchale did not occur through violence, but through Textual Capture. The writing of the *Libellus de vita et miraculis S. Godrici* (Stevenson, 1847) by the monk Reginald was not an act of homage; it was an act of bureaucratic containment. It was the first step in a process that would ultimately transform the hermit's frozen, ascetic torture chamber into something unrecognizable: a corporate holiday home for the tired bureaucrats of the peninsula.

The Biographer as Panopticon

To understand the institutionalisation of Godric, we must first interrogate the agent sent to observe him. Reginald of Durham was not merely a scribe; he was an emissary of the central administration. In my previous analysis of the *Sanctuarium Dunelmense*, (McManus, 2025), I identified the Watchers in the loft as the primary agents of surveillance within the Cathedral. Accordingly, Reginald must be understood as a Mobile Watcher—a Panopticon detached from the stone walls and sent into the woods.

Reginald struggles to force Godric's life into a neat

shape. When it comes to Reginald's writing style, we learn that "Reginald's Latin prose is 'opaque, verbose, and imprecise'... yet it offers a window into a world otherwise lost to us." (Coombe, 2022: v).

The hagiographical text reveals the tension of this surveillance. Godric frequently resists Reginald's presence, refusing to answer questions, speaking in riddles, or attempting to chase the monk away. These are not the eccentricities of a senile old man; they are the resistance strategies of a subject who knows he is being "filed." Godric, the man of the oral tradition and the spontaneous song, understood intuitively what Scott describes in *Seeing Like a State*: that to be made "legible" is to be made controllable (Scott, 1998). By forcing his way into the hermitage, Reginald was ensuring that the Shadow Sanctuary was no longer invisible to the state.

The Architectural Eye: The Squint

The institutional need to render the Wild saint legible did not end with Reginald's biography; it was codified in stone. The architectural survey of the south transept reveals a window 'singular from the fact that its sides are not at right angles to the face of the wall' (Boyle, 1892:

413). This construction was no accident of masonry. As Boyle notes, it was designed to 'enable a person in the Dormitory to see the altar and shrine in the transept below.' (Boyle, 1892: ibid).

In Foucauldian terms, this 'squint' functioned as a permanent, stone Panopticon. The monks in the dormitory, as the agents of the cathedral, possessed a direct line of sight onto the Charismatic Centre of the tomb. Even in death, Godric was subjected to the unblinking gaze of the administration, ensuring that the devotion occurring at his shrine remained within the visual field of the Bishop's regulators.

The Trap of Legibility

The primary weapon of this capture was the Latin language itself. Godric's spirituality was natively English, somatic, and chaotic. He sang hymns taught to him by the Virgin Mary in the rough vernacular of the peasantry—the *lingua materna*. Reginald, however, translated these living, breathing moments into the polished Latin of the *lingua franca*. This translation was a form of violence. It smoothed over the rough edges of

the hermit's theology to ensure it fit the orthodox templates of the Benedictine Order.

There is, therefore, a conflict in the text between the raw oral history and the polished written record; two styles of control. As Coombe informs us:

> Careful analysis reveals that two distinct styles can be discerned in the life, particularly in the accounts of Godric's illness. The first is complex and rhetorical [Reginald], while the second is 'more direct,' suggesting a different hand—perhaps that of the sub-prior Henry—attempting to clarify the narrative (Coombe, 2022: lii).

Once Godric was, deceptively, written down, his anarchic grace was converted into canonical sanctity. He was transformed from a living disruption into a static text. This textual capture solved the problem for the Cathedral. It allowed them to claim the prestige of the saint while filtering out his dangerous independence. The hermit who had once stood outside the law was now trapped inside the book.

The foregoing thesis is supported strongly by the work of Deeming (2005). Deeming situates the preservation of Godric's hymns at the precise friction point between the 'wild' oral tradition of the hermit and the

disciplining hand of the monastic scribe. She argues that the very act of noting down these vernacular English outbursts; a significant anomaly in an era dominated by Latin plainchant, serves as a testament to the institution's desire to possess the saint completely.

Deeming observes that in the manuscripts, there is often 'no visual fanfare' to mark these distinctive lyrical moments; rather, the songs are frequently embedded within paragraph after paragraph of elegant but tightly written prose, effectively subsuming Godric's individual voice into the authoritative, block-like narrative of the *Vita*.

For Deeming, these inscriptions function less as performance scores and more as sonic relics: much like a physical repository encloses a bone, the musical notation traps the saint's actual breath and vision. By imposing the rigid structures of square notation and Latin context upon Godric's intuitive, illiterate delivery, the scribes create a formal opacity that secures the saint for the archive, but effectively neutralizes the fluid, uncontainable nature of his original prayer.

This process of capturing the saint aligns with Foucault's notion of the 'examination,' where the individual is transformed into a case study; as Deeming (2005) illustrates, even the musical notation serves as a mechanism of this capture, turning Godric's living prayer into a static archival object.

From Asceticism to Amenity (The Holiday Provision)

The final and most profound stage of this institutional capture occurred after Godric's death. Once the charismatic founder was safely buried and his life safely written, the Cathedral moved to physically appropriate his Counter-Site. In a supreme sociological irony, the hermitage of Finchale, founded as a site of extreme suffering, was repurposed as a site of extreme leisure.

The records of Durham Priory reveal the establishment of a rotation system known as the *ludi* (the "players"). Recognizing that the Pressure Cooker environment of the Cathedral with its relentless liturgy, surveillance, and sanctuary management, was causing burnout among the brethren, the Prior designated Finchale as a dedicated rest facility. A group of four monks would be rotated

from Durham to Finchale for three-week intervals. During this period, the rigid disciplinary mechanisms of the peninsula were suspended. The visiting monks were granted liberties strictly forbidden at the main house. They were permitted to walk in the fields and woods, exempt from the full rigour of the Divine Office, and allowed to breathe the air of the space that Godric had inhabited.

This Holiday Provision represents the ultimate victory of the Institution over the Individual. Godric had gone to Finchale to suffer more than the Cathedral allowed, wearing metal vests until they rotted his flesh and standing in the freezing River Wear to destroy his carnal desires. The Bureaucracy, however, inverted the function of his sanctuary entirely. They used his space to suffer less.

The Architecture of Leisure: The Player Chamber

The transformation of Finchale from a site of ascetic rigor to one of monastic leisure is explicitly confirmed by the architectural record. Boyle's transcript of the Account Rolls (1464-5) details the construction of a new roof for a specific room: a chamber called *le*

Playerchambre. This entry is sociologically devastating to the original mission of the hermitage. The term Player refers to the *ludi,* the monks on holiday but the account goes further, noting this was the room where the brethren assembled to 'hear the minstrels and glee men.'

Here, the capture of the Wild is total. The silence of Godric, once broken only by the freezing waters of the Wear, was replaced by the authorised noise of professional entertainers. The existence of a dedicated Player Chamber within the priory walls proves that the Holiday Provision was not merely a temporary relaxation of the rules, but a permanent structural feature of the institution. The Counter-Site had been fully gentrified.

By turning the Shadow Sanctuary into an employee amenity, the Cathedral neutralized its threat. Finchale was no longer a competing jurisdiction offering a wild alternative to the Bishop's law; it was now a perk of the Bishop's employment. The Safety Valve that Godric had opened for the excluded women and the poor was effectively capped and piped back into the monastery to keep its own internal machinery running smoothly.

Conclusion: The Death of the Shadow

The transformation of Finchale from a charismatic hermitage to a monastic holiday home marks the completion of the Bureaucratisation of Grace. The Institution proved that it could absorb anything—even the most radical rejection of its values. The Individual (Godric) tried to escape the system by fleeing to the woods. The System (Durham) followed him, wrote him down, and eventually turned his hermitage into a summer house.

In doing so, they destroyed the Ecosystem of Shadows. When the Wild becomes just another department of the City, the possibility of genuine alternative grace vanishes. The monk resting in the ruins of Godric's cell, enjoying his three weeks of authorized leisure, is the ultimate symbol of the captured saint. The shrews had not only been tamed; they had been put on the payroll.

Chapter 8
Discussion: The Man of Law and the Man of Sorrow

Introduction: Testing the Counter-Site

The preceding chapters have mapped the Two Cities metaphor with a sociological framework. I have established the physical and bureaucratic rigidity of the Cathedral and contrasted it with the somatic, charismatic chaos of Finchale. Before concluding this study, however, I want to subject this dichotomy to a rigorous stress test. Sociology constantly interrogates its own models. I have established that the mechanism of exclusion existed, but was the mechanism of inclusion a genuine sanctuary or merely a structural accident?

The central hypothesis of this book is that Finchale Priory functioned as a female-friendly alternative to the misogynistic regime of St Cuthbert. But is this a projection of modern desires onto medieval data? To answer this, I will develop a direct confrontation between the two archetypes that dominate the spiritual landscape of the twelfth century: The Man of Law, Cuthbert, representing the Institution and The Man of Sorrow, Godric, representing the Individual. This

discussion interrogates whether Godric's inclusivity was a conscious rebellion against the Cathedral, or simply the inevitable result of a Market Failure in the economy of grace.

The Biopolitical Divide (The Man of Law)

The baseline for this discussion is the Thesis of Exclusion established in my book, *The Bureaucratisation of Grace* (McManus, 2025). The evidence confirms that the Cathedral exercised a form of biopower, that is, the authority to manage the population by filtering bodies.

The exclusion of women from the Cathedral precinct was not merely a custom; it was a spatial ban rooted in the exclusionary beliefs associated with St. Cuthbert. In the hagiographical tradition, Cuthbert is the Man of Law. He is the patron of boundaries, the defender of the patrimony, and the guardian of purity. In this worldview, the female body acts as a contaminant. It is "matter out of place" (Douglas, 1966).

Douglas is essential to this part of my analysis because she moves the discussion of the Cathedral's exclusion of women away from simple misogyny; which is an

emotion, to structural functionalism; which is a system. Her famous definition of dirt as "matter out of place" is the key. She argues the theory that purity is not about hygiene; it is about order. A system, like a Cathedral, maintains its power by keeping categories distinct. This is applied to the system at Durham. In the system of St Cuthbert, the category of Sanctity was defined as Male and Chaste. Therefore, a woman entering the precinct was not evil; she was simply, out of place, incongruous. Her presence confused the boundaries of the system, creating anxiety that the monks had to manage through exclusion (Douglas, ibid).

The sociological implication is profound. The Cathedral created a spiritual desert for women. By defining the sanctuary subject as inherently male, as seen in the registers, the institution effectively stated that half the population did not possess the legal standing to access the primary source of grace. This exclusion was absolute, creating a pressure that required a structural release.

The Pastoral Alternative (The Man of Sorrow)

Against this, we position Godric, the Man of Sorrow. If Cuthbert flees from women to preserve his purity, Godric receives them to test his endurance. The external evidence from Reginald of Durham's text confirms that the hermitage was porous. Godric maintained close spiritual ties with his sister Burhcwen. He also received visions from the Virgin Mary, and he ministered to female pilgrims who would have been barred from the Shrine of St Cuthbert.

In Foucauldian terms, Godric exercised Pastoral Power in its rawest form. Unlike the Sovereign Power of the Bishop, which relies on the enforcement of boundaries, Pastoral Power relies on the individualisation of the subject. Godric offered personal counsel, prophecy, and healing. Because he operated outside the institutional grid, with no walls, no watching lofts, and no North Door, he dismantled the barriers that kept women out.

Interrogating the "Safe Male" (The Stress Test)

However, this Openness requires scrutiny. We must address three critical sociological objections to the claim that Finchale was a deliberate sanctuary for women.

The Question of Intent: Inclusivity or Market Demand?

A sceptic might argue: Godric was a hermit. Hermits desire solitude. Did he actually welcome women, or did he just tolerate them because he lacked the walls to keep them out? The answer lies in the Merchant Habitus discussed in Chapter 5. We need not argue that Godric was a feminist in the modern sense to see that he was a pragmatist. As a former merchant, Godric understood the value of a customer. Even if his ascetic instinct was for solitude, his Charismatic Authority depended entirely on having an audience to witness it.

If the Cathedral, as the monopoly provider, refuses service to half of the market, the Entrepreneur of Grace will naturally absorb that surplus demand. Whether Godric wanted female company is sociologically irrelevant; his function was to serve those the Cathedral rejected. His Shadow Sanctuary emerged not from ideology, but from the supply and demand of the spiritual marketplace.

The Paradox of Safety: Why the Woods?

The second critique arises regarding the safety of the space: Why would medieval women feel safe visiting a wild hermit in the woods? Does this not present a physical danger? This paradox is resolved by the theory of Somatic Capital. Godric had systematically destroyed his own virility. By standing in freezing rivers and baths until his skin decayed and wearing a metal vest that ate into his flesh, Godric had de-sexualised himself. He was not a man in the predatory sense; he was effectively a living corpse.

This transformation is crucial. The virile, wealthy monks of the Cathedral represented a power dynamic of dominance. Godric, however, represented a dynamic of shared suffering. He became a Safe Male, accessible to women precisely because he had stripped himself of the capacity for sexual aggression. He was a Eunuch for the Kingdom, and therefore, the woods of Finchale were safer than the streets of Durham City.

Rebellion or Outsourcing?

Finally, we must ask: Was Godric a rebellion against the Cathedral, or a service to it? It is tempting to view

Godric as an anti-establishment figure. However, the evidence suggests a more complex functionalism. The Cathedral liked having Godric there. It allowed the monks to maintain their purity without facing a riot. They could say to the messy, desperate, or female pilgrims: "We cannot help you here, but go to the holy man down the river."

This aligns with the Bureaucratisation thesis. The Institution needs the Heterotopia. Durham needed Finchale to handle the messy cases so that the Cathedral could remain pure, orderly, and male. Finchale was not an enemy fortress; it was a structural safety valve.

The Necessary Valve

The confrontation between the Man of Law and the Man of Sorrow reveals that the Shadow Sanctuary was not a romantic accident, it was a structural necessity. The argument holds that Finchale was indeed a female-friendly alternative, but not because of a progressive ideology. It was inclusive because the rigidity of the Cathedral made it inevitable. The Misogyny of the Centre produced the Openness of the Periphery. The system worked because the Man of Sorrow took on the

burdens and the bodies that the Man of Law refused to carry.

Chapter 9
Conclusion: The Ecosystem of Shadows

The Enlightenment, which discovered the liberties, also invented the disciplines. (Michel Foucault, Discipline and Punish)

Introduction: The Symbiosis of the Sacred

This study began with a geographical observation: that the peninsula of Durham is defined by severance. The rock of St Cuthbert stands isolated by the river, a fortress of stone and statute. However, the investigation has revealed that this isolation was never absolute. The City of Law (Durham) could only function because it was tethered, by an invisible cord of necessity, to the City of the Wild (Finchale).

The central conclusion of Shadow Sanctuaries is that the relationship between the Institution and the Individual was not merely one of conflict, but of Symbiotic Necessity. The Bureaucratisation of Grace (McManus, 2025), the regime of registers, surveillance, and exclusion described in the *Sanctuarium Dunelmense*, was a rigid system that would have shattered under its own pressure had it not been for the existence of a safety valve.

St Godric's hermitage was a valve. It was the Shadow Sanctuary that absorbed the demographic and spiritual excesses that the Cathedral could not contain. The Institution required the Individual; the Law required the Miracle; and the Bishop required the Hermit.

The Architecture of Necessity (Summary of Findings)

This study has triangulated the life of St Godric through three sociological lenses, each revealing a different facet of this symbiotic relationship.

The Spatial Symbiosis: As argued in Chapter 4, the Geography of Exclusion at the Cathedral created a Market Failure in the economy of salvation. By turning the peninsula into a fortress, the Bishop created a surplus population of the excluded. Finchale Priory functioned as the Heterotopia of Compensation, a deregulated space where the rules of the precinct were suspended.

The Economic Symbiosis: As argued in Chapter 5, Godric's Merchant Habitus allowed him to generate Somatic Capital. His extreme asceticism provided the

region with a source of Charismatic Authority that balanced the Legal-Rational Authority of the diocese. The ecosystem required both: the stability of the Office and the disruption of the Saint.

The Gendered Symbiosis: As argued in Chapter 6, the hyper-masculinity of the St Cuthbert cult necessitated a Marian Proxy. Godric's role as the Safe Male, the eunuch for God, allowed the Church to retain the loyalty of the female population without altering the misogynistic protocols of the main shrine.

The Double Death: The Reformation

> It is the fate of charisma, whenever it comes into the permanent institutions of a community, to give way to powers of tradition or of rational socialization... This waning of charisma generally indicates the diminishing importance of individual action (Weber, 1946: 253).

The fragility of this ecosystem is revealed in its destruction. The end of the Shadow Sanctuary did not come from a failure of the saint, but from the totalising power of the Tudor State. When Henry VIII ordered the Dissolution of the Monasteries in the late 1530s, he did not merely close a few buildings; he dismantled the

entire Ecosystem of Grace. Crucially, the State destroyed both poles of the dialectic simultaneously.

The Death of the Bureaucracy: The abolition of the right of sanctuary destroyed the legal power of the Cathedral. The registers were closed, the North Door ceased to be a threshold of immunity, and the Sovereign Power of the Bishop was absorbed by the Crown.

The Death of the Shadow: The dissolution of Finchale Priory destroyed the Safety Valve. The hermitage was stripped of its lead and timber; the holiday rotation of the monks was terminated; and the wild space of the saint was enclosed.

With the destruction of Finchale, the Shadow was erased. There was no longer a counter-site where the laws of the realm could be suspended. The Invisible Wall that Godric had built to protect the poor and the excluded was torn down, leaving the individual fully exposed to the glare of the new, uniform legal space of the Nation-State.

Conclusion: The Legacy of the Loophole

Ultimately, the story of St Godric and the monks of Durham is a lesson in the sociology of power. It teaches us that total bureaucracy is impossible. Every system of control, no matter how rigorous, produces a shadow.

For four hundred years, the Benedictine regime of Durham managed this shadow brilliantly. They did not destroy the wild hermit; they used him. They used him to handle the women they refused to admit; they used him to impress the peasants they could not reach; and finally, as seen in the Holiday Provision, they used his sanctuary to rest their own tired bodies.

The tragedy of the Reformation was not just the loss of a religion, but the loss of this nuance. When the modern state emerged, it demanded a "legibility" so total that it could tolerate no shadows. It offered justice, but it offered no sanctuary. In the ruins of Finchale Priory, we see the ghost of a different possibility: a world where the Law was strong, but where the Loophole was sacred.

Postscript: The Toll on the Road to Grace

The argument of this thesis—that the City of Law inevitably captures the City of the Wild—finds its grim confirmation in the contemporary geography of the site. Today, the ruins of Finchale Priory stand quiet by the River Wear, stripping the landscape of the liturgical noise that once defined it. Yet, one administrative feature remained intact for many years. A fee, or token was required to visit.

The author has lived a mile or so from Finchale for most of his life. Before and during the 1950s, when it was part of his playground, a toll was payable to enter the priory building. To enter the space where St Godric once offered free, charismatic counsel to the poorest women of Durham, the modern pilgrim had to pay a charge. This toll was not merely a funding mechanism for heritage conservation; it was a sociological symbol of the final enclosure. However, in the last few years, the toll has been lifted, although a car parking fee is required for motorists. The on-foot pilgrim, therefore, can still enter the whole complex free, as it was in Godric's time.

Godric's hermitage began as a site of radical accessibility—a place without doors, registers, or fees, defined by its opposition to the transactional nature of the Cathedral. The recent entry charge represented the total victory of the Bureaucratisation of Grace. The Shadow Sanctuary has been fully illuminated, categorized, and commodified. It is now a little less commercialised, although refreshment on the site requires a payment.

The Man of Law—whether in the guise of the twelfth-century Bishop or the twenty-first-century site manager—successfully placed a turnstile on the edge of the wild. The ledger, initiated by the monks who tallied the cost of the stone in the 1190s, remained open. Today, Finchale is still the site dedicated to the man who gave away all his merchant wealth to become a hermit, a place Godric would celebrate; a place of worship in the Palatinate where one can pray without first opening one's purse.

Bibliography

Bourdieu, P. 1977 'Cultural Reproduction and Social Reproduction', in Karabel, J. and Halsey, A.H. (eds.) *Power and Ideology in Education*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 487–511.

Bourdieu, P. 1990 *The Logic of Practice*. Stanford University Press.

Boyle, J. R., 1892 *Comprehensive Guide to the County of Durham*. London: Walter Scott.

Certeau, M. de 1988 *The Writing of History*. Translated by T. Conley. New York: Columbia University Press.

Coombe, M. (ed. and trans.) 2022 *Reginald of Durham: The Life and Miracles of Saint Godric, Hermit of Finchale*. Oxford: Clarendon Press (Oxford Medieval Texts).

Deeming, H. 2005 "The Songs of St Godric: A Neglected Context", in the journal *Music & Letters*, Vol. 86, No. 2.

Douglas, M. 1966 *Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Foucault, M. 1975 *Discipline and Punish. The Birth of the Prison*. Penguin.

Foucault, M. 1984 Des espaces autres. *Architecture / Mouvement / Continuité*, 5, pp. 46–49.

Goethe, J. 1773. *Götz von Berlichingen*.

Johnson, H.L. 2013 'The Other Side of the Fence: Reconceptualizing the 'Camp' and Migration Zones at

the Borders of Spain'. *International Political Sociology*, 7(1), pp.75–91.

Kesselring, K.J. 2003 *Mercy and Authority in the Tudor State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McSheffrey, S. 2017 *Seeking Sanctuary: Crime, Mercy, and Politics in English Courts, 1400–1550*. Oxford University Press.

Raine, J. (ed.) 1837 *The Charters of Endowment, Inventories, and Account Rolls of the Priory of Finchale, in the County of Durham*. Publications of the Surtees Society, Vol. 6. London: J.B. Nichols and Son.

Scott J. C. 1998 *Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*. Yale University Press, New Haven and London.

Shoemaker, K., 2011 *Sanctuary and Crime in the Middle Ages, 400–1500*. New York: Fordham University Press.

Stevenson, J. (ed.) 1847 *Libellus de vita et miraculis S. Godrici, heremitae de Finchale*. London: J. B. Nichols and Son (Surtees Society Publications, 20).

Tudor, V. 1981 "Reginald of Durham and Saint Godric of Finchale: Learning and Religion on a Personal Level." *Studies in Church History*, 17, pp. 37–48.

Weber, M. 1922 *Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology*. Published posthumously as *Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft*. Editors/Translators: Guenther Roth and Claus Wittich (Translators also include Ephraim Fischoff, Hans Gerth, et al.) University of California Press (or Bedminster Press).

Weber, M. 1946 *Essays in Sociology*. Translated and edited by H.H. Gerth and C.W. Mills. New York: Oxford University Press.

Yin, R.K. 2018 *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. 6th ed. Los Angeles: SAGE.

Glossary of Some Key Concepts

Governmentality Defined by Foucault as "the conduct of conduct," this term refers to the wide array of activities intended to shape, guide, or affect the behaviour of people. It encompasses not just political rule, but also the government of the self (morality/asceticism) and the government of souls (pastoral power).
Relevance to Godric: Godric's self-flagellation and asceticism are forms of governing the self, while Reginald's text attempts to govern his legacy.

Pastoral Power A form of power originating in Christianity, modelled on the shepherd and his flock. It is power exercised over "all and each"—totalizing (the whole community) but also individualizing (caring for each specific soul).
Relevance to Godric: The "wild saint" operates within this logic of salvation, but the "writing down" of his life transfers this power to the institution (the monastery).

Discipline A "microphysics of power" concerned with the detailed control of the body and behaviour. Discipline works through observation, monitoring, and the "norm" to shape individuals.
Relevance to Godric: The "discipline of the text" is the mechanism by which the chaotic, oral life of the saint is fixed, categorized, and "owned" by the written record.

The Entrepreneur of the Self A concept from neo-liberal analysis where the individual is viewed not as a worker but as an "enterprise." The individual must act as an entrepreneur of their own "human capital," making choices to maximize their value and "satisfaction".

Relevance to Godric: This is the core metaphor of this book's title. Godric, the former merchant, becomes an "Entrepreneur of Grace," managing his spiritual capital (suffering, visions, miracles) to maximize his salvation and saintly value.

Police (Polizei) In the 17th/18th-century sense, "Police" did not just mean crime fighting, but the entire administration of the state's forces to ensure the "splendour of the state" and the "happiness" of its subjects. It involved detailed regulation of religion, morals, health, and commerce.
Relevance to Godric: The "writing down" of the saint is a "police" measure—an attempt to impose order on the "wild" or disorderly elements of religious enthusiasm.

Reason of State (Raison d'État) A rationality where the principles of government are derived from the state itself, not from the divine order of the world. It calculates the "strength of the state" and requires knowledge (statistics/files) to operate.
Relevance to Godric: The transition from the "wild saint" (divine order) to the "canonized saint" (institutional order) mirrors the shift to a Reason of State where the Church acts as the state managing its assets.

Eventalisation Foucault's method of making visible the "singularity" of an event, rather than treating it as a natural or inevitable historical constant. It involves a "breach of self-evidence" to show that things (like the prison or the saint's biography) were not "necessary" but constructed.
Relevance to Godric: Treating the *Vita* of Godric not as a neutral record, but as an "event" of capture and codification.

Veridiction The "production of truth"—not just speaking the truth but establishing the rules and domains in which "true" and "false" can be distinguished.
Relevance to Godric: Reginald's biography is a regime of *veridiction*; it establishes the "truth" of Godric's sanctity according to the rules of the Church, silencing other potential truths (the "wild" voice).

Biopolitics / Bio-power Power exercised over the population as living beings—concerned with health, birth, mortality, and the "conduct of living".
Relevance to Godric: The regulation of the saint's body (fasting, freezing waters) becomes a site where the "life" of the saint is managed for the spiritual health of the population.

By the same author

McManus, M. (2026) *From Fate to Choice: Private Bobbies, Public Beats*. London: Routledge.

McManus, M. (2025) *Stigmata and Other Signs: Short Stories and Verse*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2025) *The Bureaucratisation of Grace: A Foucauldian Analysis of Sanctuary at Durham Cathedral* Independently published.

McManus, M. (2025) *The Weight of Things We Carry: Ten Short Stories of Emotional and Physical Burden* Independently published.

McManus, M. (2024) *The State or the Market? An Evaluation of Private Security Patrol in Public Residential Areas of the United Kingdom*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2023) *From Townland to Township: The Complex Evolution of a Dissipative Famine-Irish Community in County Durham*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2023) *The Palatinate, the Peninsular and the Slum: The Science of Police in Victorian Durham*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2023) *Genes and Septs Reunited: History and Genetics Synthesized in the Families of McManus and O'Conor of Connaught, Ireland*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2023) *Shout it Out! Well Versed Reflections and Images*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (2023) *A Thousand Words: Images, Flash Stories and Free Verse*. Independently published.

McManus, M. (1995) *From Fate to Choice: Private Bobbies, Public Beats*. Aldershot: Avebury.

Index

A - G

Asceticism 1, 11, 23, 33–35, 37, 45, 58, 66, 70, 78, 79

Biopolitics 8, 26, 28, 39, 41, 45, 62, 78, 80

Blue Marble Cross 41–43

Bourdieu, Pierre 7, 9, 13, 17, 23, 32–33, 40–41, 76

Bureaucratisation 3, 5, 10, 14, 16, 18, 30, 60, 66, 69, 75

Capital

Cultural 17, 40

Institutional 33–34, 37

Somatic 1–2, 9, 11, 23, 32, 34, 36, 38, 45, 66, 70

Coombe, Margaret 4–5, 15–16, 18, 24, 40, 46, 48, 50, 52–53, 55, 76

Cuthbert, St. 4, 8, 27–31, 33, 39, 41–43, 45, 61–64, 69–70

H - W

Habitus (Merchant) 1, 9, 13, 32–33, 40–41, 65, 70

Heterotopia 3, 7, 11, 26, 30–31, 39, 67, 70

Holiday Provision (Ludi) 17, 57–59, 66, 73

Invisible Wall 11, 28, 30–31, 72

Law (Man of) 11, 14, 21, 29, 38, 42–43, 51, 61–62, 67–68, 70, 75

Leisure 18, 57–58, 60, 66, 73

Marian Proxy 11, 17, 40, 46–47, 51, 54, 64, 71

Merchant 1, 9, 11, 13, 32–35, 38, 51, 65, 70, 79

Miracles 2, 5–6, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24, 40, 46, 48, 78

North Door 8, 27–29, 64, 72

Panopticon 52, 54

Deeming, Helen 17–18, 46, 55–56, 76

Dissolution (of Monasteries) 12, 17, 71–72

Douglas, Mary 30–31, 62–63, 76

Durham Cathedral 1–5, 8–11, 14, 16, 20, 26–31, 33–35, 37, 39–41, 43, 45, 47–51, 54, 57–58, 61–63, 66–67, 69, 72–73, 75

Ecosystem of Grace 4, 13, 18, 21, 31, 48, 69, 71

Emeloth 43, 44

Entrepreneur of Grace 1–2, 38, 79

Exclusion, Geography of 8, 11, 26, 28–29, 31, 41, 47, 49, 62, 70

Finchale Priory 1–2, 4, 7–8, 10–11, 15, 17–18, 23, 25–26, 31–33, 36–37, 39–41, 44–45, 47, 49, 51, 57–61, 64, 66–67, 69–70, 72–75

Pudsey, Bishop 51

Purity 5, 8, 26–27, 30–31, 37, 40, 43, 47, 62–63, 67

Reginald of Durham 2, 5, 10–11, 15–16, 20–21, 23, 32, 39, 46, 48, 50, 52–53, 55, 64, 76

Safe Male 8, 15, 40, 45, 51, 64, 66, 71

Sanctuary 3, 5, 14, 22, 27–29, 31, 35, 37, 39, 41, 45–46, 53, 57, 59, 61–63, 65, 67, 69–70, 72, 75

Shadow Sanctuary 5, 10, 14, 17, 22, 24, 26, 31, 37, 47, 53, 59–60, 67, 70–71, 75

Somatic Capital 1–2, 9, 11, 23, 32, 34, 36, 38, 45, 66, 70

Taming of the Shrew 10–11, 49, 51

Weber, Max 7, 16, 32, 34, 38, 46, 51, 59, 71, 77

Foucault, Michel 3, 7, 11, 20, 26, 28, 49, 53, 56, 64, 69, 76, 78–79

Gender 8, 11, 16–17, 25, 28, 31, 39–40, 45, 47, 71

Godric, St. 1–2, 4–5, 8–9, 11, 13, 15–16, 20, 23, 30, 32–38, 40, 43, 45–46, 49–56, 58, 60–61, 64–66, 70–71, 74

Women 5, 11, 14–15, 22, 27, 29–31, 37, 39–42, 45–46, 48, 51, 62–67, 73–74

About the Author

Michael McManus was born in 1946 in Durham City, UK. He has led a distinguished and varied career, serving for fifty years in Public Service. He served as an Airman in the Royal Navy's Fleet Air Arm during the 1960s and early 1970s. In 1971, he joined the Durham Constabulary, dedicating nearly three decades to policing before retiring in 1999 and transitioning to academia at Durham University, where he taught Sociology and Criminology in a part-time capacity from 1999 to 2015 and was module leader in the Policing Module and the Researching Crime Module.